This article was downloaded by: On: 19 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



### International Journal of Polymeric Materials

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713647664>

## Physical and Mechanical Behavior of Polyamide-6 Containing Oxyaromatic Compounds

M. S. Arzhakov<sup>a</sup>; E. V. Nechaeva<sup>a</sup>; I. I. Khairullin<sup>a</sup>; A. V. Volkov<sup>a</sup>; A. L. Volynskii<sup>a</sup>; N. F. Bakeev<sup>a</sup> a Polymer Department, Faculty of Chemistry, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

To cite this Article Arzhakov, M. S. , Nechaeva, E. V. , Khairullin, I. I. , Volkov, A. V. , Volynskii, A. L. and Bakeev, N. F.(1998) 'Physical and Mechanical Behavior of Polyamide-6 Containing Oxyaromatic Compounds', International Journal of Polymeric Materials, 40: 3, 289 — 308

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00914039808034844 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00914039808034844>

## PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

*Intern. J. Polymeric Mnter..* 1998, **Vol. 40, pp. 289-308 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only** 

# Physical and Mechanical Behavior of Polyamide-6 Containing Oxyaromatic Compounds

#### M. S. ARZHAKOV\*, E.V. NECHAEVA, 1.1. KHAIRULLIN, A.V.VOLKOV, A. L.VOLYNSKII and N. **F.** BAKEEV

*Polymer Department, Faculty of Chemistry, Moscow State University, Vorobevy Gory, Moscow, 779899 Russia* 

*(Received* **5** *May 1997)* 

The distribution of oxyaromatic compound in PA-6 amorphous regions between two structural forms, which are characterized by different energy of interaction with polymer matrix, was studied. Physical and mechanical properties (glass transition temperature, water sorption, elastic modulus) of PA-6 containing oxyaromatic compound were shown to be controlled not only by the net content of oxyaromatic compound in polymer, but by its distribution between these structural forms. This distribution appears to govern the mechanical properties of the polymer in the region of its relaxation transition from glassy to rubbery state, whatever this state was achieved by increasing the concentration of plasticizer (water) or by increasing the temperature. The experimental evidence obtained was discussed with the account for structural heterogeneity of the amorphous regions of semicrystalline PA-6.

*Keywords:* Polyamide-6; oxyaromatic compound; modification; mechanical properties

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Improvement of physical and mechanical properties of polymer materials may be achieved by their physical modification via introduction of compatible low-molecular-mass compounds (LMs) into polymers. Generally, for these polymer/LM systems, compatibility **of** the components is controlled by complexation between

Downloaded At: 11:25 19 January 2011 Downloaded At: 11:25 19 January 2011

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

polymer macrochains and molecules of LM via hydrogen bonding, electrostatic, dispersion, and similar interactions. Physical and mechanical behavior of as-modified polymer materials is decided by energetics of interaction between components of polymer composite.

In some cases, LMs, which were introduced into the polymer from the swelling solutions, appear to be distributed within polymer matrix between two different forms, reversibly **(RB)** and irreversibly bound **(IS)** with polymer [I **-41. As** compared with **IB** form, RB form may be easily removed from polymer by keeping polymer/LM samples in a pure solvent. In other words, the above forms of LM are characterized by the different energy of interaction with polymer. As a result, physical and mechanical behavior of these polymer materials are expected to be primarily controlled by the distribution of modifying agent between **RB** and **IB** forms.

Mechanism of the distribution observed was discussed [ **1,** 2, 5, **61** in terms of structural inhomogeneity of amorphous polymers or amorphous phase in semicrystalline polymers - existence of, at least, two different noncrystalline regions with different packing densities and ordering. Note, that the structural inhomogeneity of the amorphous phase in semicrystalline polymers and completely amorphous polymers is an intriguing problem, which is being vigorously discussed in literature [7 - **151.** According to mechanism proposed, development of **IB** form **of** LM in polymer is associated with the formation of a stable complex between LM molecules and polymer macrochains in densely packed structural regions of polymer. Complexation of LM molecules with polymer macrochains in loosely packed structural regions is accompanied by development of **RB** form of LM with lowered energy of interaction as compared with **IB** form. As **a** result, **RB** form of LM is able to be removed from polymer matrix. This approach allows controlled occupation of different structural regions of polymer with LM molecules, **i.e.,** separate modification of the above structural regions.

In this paper, for model systems based on PA-6 modified with oxyaromatic compound **(OAC),** the effect of distribution of modifying agent between loosely and densely packed structural regions within amorphous phase of polymer on physical and mechanical behavior of as-modified polymer material was studied. **OACs** are known [3, <sup>16</sup>- **181** to be well compatible with alphatic polyamides because of complexation between phenol hdyroxyls of OAC with amide polymer groups via hdyrogen bonding. This complexation was shown to be responsible for increase in the energy of intermolecular interaction in polyamides, and, as a result, for increasing mechanical characteristics of polymer **[19,** 201. Distribution of OACs within amorphous phase of aliphatic polyamides was noted in  $[4-6]$ . Moreover, the ratio between IB and RB forms of OAC in PA-6 was shown to be controlled by changing concentration and time regimes of the treatment of PA-6 samples in swelling OAC solutions.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

We studied commerical isotropic films of PA-6 with a thickness of **0.1**  mm. Before testing, the samples were treated in boiling water for 3 h. After that, they were dried over  $P_2O_5$  until constant mass was attained. As a modifying agent, we used an oxyaromatic compound prepared by condensation of sulfonated  $\beta$ -naphtol and dioxydiphenylsulfone with formaldehyde, with the following structural formula:

 $HOSO<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH)CH<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>OH)SO<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>OH)$ 

To introduce OAC to PA-6, polymer films were treated with OAC aqueous solutions with concentrations of 0.007,0.036, 0.072, and 0.14 mol/l for 5, 15, **30,** 60, and **180** min. at 373 **K.** Then, the PA-6/0AC samples were allowed to dry over  $P_2O_5$ until constant mass was attained. Concentration of OAC sorbed by the polymer samples was estimated gravimetrically.

The kinetic sorption curves (Fig. 1) show that equilibrium sorption of OAC by PA-6 samples is achieved within 3 h. With increasing the time of treatment of *6* h, no further sorption of OAC by PA-6 films was observed.

To obtain quantitative estimations on distribution of OAC introduced to PA-6 films between RB and IB forms, the PA-6/0AC samples were treated in boiling water for 1, 2, **3,** 6, and 12 h. We showed that RB form of OAC can be removed from the polymer within 6 h. With increasing the time of treatment to **12** h, no marked desorption of OAC from PA-6 was observed. In this connection, the



**FIGURE 1 Kinetic curves** of **OAC sorption by PA-6** films from **aqueous OAC solutions with concentrations 0.036** (1). **0.072 (2), and 0.14** mol/l **(3).** 

**IB** form of **OAC** can be defined as the fraction of **OAC,** which cannot be removed from the **PA-6/0AC** samples upon their treatment in boiling water for *6* h. The fractional content of **IB** form of **OAC** was estimated as  $C_{IR} = [IR]/([IR] + [RB])$ .

Figure 2 shows the kinetic curves of accumulation of **IB** form of **OAC** in amorphous regions of **PA-6.** For all aqueous **OAC** solutions, with increasing the time of treatment of **PA-6** films **to 3** h, fractional content of **IB** form of OAC approaches the same value  $(0.32 \pm 0.02)$ . **This** value is likely to be related to equilibrium distribution of **OAC**  between **IB** and RB forms, since it remains **the** same even for the **PA4**  samples treated with aqueous **OAC** solutions **for 6** h.

Hence, the variations in the net content of **OAC** in **PA-6** as well as in the fractional content of **IB** form of **OGC** in polymer samples can he carried out using the following procedures:



FIGURE 2 Fractional content C<sub>IB</sub> of IB form of OAC in PA-6 films versus time of **treatment of PA-6 with aqueous OAC solutions with concentrations 0.036 (l), 0.072** (2), **and 0.14 mol/l (3).** 

- 1. Treatment of **PA-6** films with aqueous **OAC** solutions of different concentrations for 3 h allows one to vary the net content of **OAC** at fixed fractional content of its IB form  $-0.32\pm0.02$  (Samples I).
- 2. Treatment of **PA-6** films with aqueous **OAC** solutions of fixed concentration for various periods of time allows one to vary fractional content of **IB** form of **OAC** in the range not exceeding 0.32 (Samples 11).
- 3. Removal of RB form of **OAC** from **PA-6/0AC** samples allows one to increase fractional content of **IB** form of **OAC** in polymer to 1.0 (Samples 111).

The dynamic properties of PA-6/OAC dry samples were studied with Rheometrics solid analyzer RSA-I1 **(USA)** over temperature range from 290 to **450** K; deformation frequency was *5* Hz; strain was less than 0.5%; heating rate was *2* K/min. Glass transition temperatures of **PA-6/0AC** samples were estimated from temperature dependences of loss tangent.

To study water sorption, the **PA-6/0AC** samples were conditioned over saturated aqueous solutions of inorganic salts at a given humidity at 293 K until constant mass. Water sorption was measured gravimetrically.

Mechanical tests were carried out with an Instron-1100 tensile machine (Great Britain) at a strain rate of 100 % min. at **293** K. The initial elastic modulus was measured at strains below **3%.** Prior to mechanical tests, the samples were conditioned over saturated aqueous solutions of inorganic salts at a given humidity until equilibrium.

Uniaxial drawing of isotropic **PA-6** films was carried out in water at **293** K to strain of **160%.** After that, the stressed samples were dried in vacuum. Dry stressed **PA-6** samples were treated in aqueous **OAC**  solutions with concentrations of **0.007, 0.036,** and **0.072** mol/l for **3** h at **373** K. Removal of RB form of **OAC** was carried out by treatment of stressed oriented **PA-6/0AC** samples in boiling water for **6** h. Then, oriented **PA-6/OAC** samples were dried in vacuum and unloaded. Temperature-induced shrinkage of oriented **PA-6/0AC** samples was studied in the temperature range from **293K** to **483K.** Residual deformation at a given temperature was estimated as  $\varepsilon_{\text{res}} = (I_T - I_0)$  $(l_{\text{def}} - l_0)$ , where  $l_0$  - initial length of PA-6 sample,  $l_{\text{def}}$  - the length of the oriented sample,  $I_T$  - the length of the sample at a given temperature.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 3 shows the temperature dependences of storage moduli  $E'$  for Samples I. As is visible, the temperature dependences of  $E'$  have an inversion point,  $T_I$ . That is, at temperatures below  $T_I$ , increasing the content of **OAC** in **PA-6/0AC** samples lead to an increase in E', whereas at temperatures above  $T_I$  with increasing the content of OAC in polymer  $E'$  decreases.

Such behavior may be attributed to the antiplasticizing (reinforcing) action of **OAC** on the mechanical properties of **PA-6** at temperatures below  $T_I$  and to the plasticizing (weakening) action at temperatures above  $T_I$ . Note, that, for Samples I,  $T_I$  is independent of the content of



**FIGURE 3 Temperature dependences** of **storage moduli** *E'* of **initial PA-6 (1) and PA-6 treated** with **aqueous OAC solutions with concentrations 0.007** (2), **0.072 (3), and 0.14**  mol/l **(4)** for **3** h **(Samples I).** 

**OAC** in **PA-6. A** plausible explanation of this phenomenon will be given below.

When RB form of **OAC** is removed from **PA-6/0AC** samples by their treatment in boiling water (transition from Samples I to Samples III),  $T_I$  is shifted towards higher temperatures (Fig. 4). It is of particular interest to analyze the behavior of the elastic properties of **PA-6/0AC** samples in the temperature range from **373-383** to **413- 423** K.

In this temperature range, the values of storage moduli *E'* for Sample I (Fig. **4,** curve **2),** which contains both **IB** and RB forms of **OAC,** are lower than those for the initial polymer (curve 1). In the case of Sample I11 (curve **3),** which contains only IB form of **OAC,** the values of  $E'$  are still higher than those for the initial polymer. This



**FIGURE 4 Temperature dependences of storage moduli** *E'* of **initial PA-6 (l), PA-6 treated with aqueous OAC solution with concentration 0.14 mol/l for** *3* **h (Sample I) (2), and, then, with boiling water for 6 h (Sample Ill) (3).** 

means, that in the samples studied, the same modifying agent may have opposite effect on the elastic properties of the polymer, depending on its form in the polymer matrix. Let us discuss the behavior of elastic properties of PA-6/OAC samples in terms of the molecular mobility of OAC in **PA-6.** 

With increasing temperature, the mobility of **OAC** molecules in **PA-6** increases. Increasing mobility of **OAC** molecules is responsible for decreasing the energy of intermolecular interaction between polymer macromolecules. **As** a result, antiplasticizing action of **OAC**  on **PA-6** is replaced by plasticizing action. For the **PA-6/0AC**  samples, this mechanism may be invoked to explain the existence of the inversion point  $T_I$  in the temperature dependences of storage moduli.

The peculiarities of the mechanical behavior of the **PA-6/0AC**  samples are related to their complicated molecular organization, i.e., to the existence of two different forms **(IB** and **RB)** of **OAC** in polymer matrix. In a certain temperature range, mobility of **RB** form of **OAC** is likely to be higher than that of **IB** form. This difference in mobility of **IB** and **RB** forms can be explained by the fact, that **IB** form of **OAC** is localized within the densely packed structural regions of amorphous phase of **PA-6,** which are characterized by a high level of intermolecular interaction. The **RB** form of **OAC** occupies loosely packed structural regions of amorphous phase with lower energetics of intermolecular interaction. **As** a result, molecular mobility of **RB** form appears at lower temperatures as compared with **IB** form. From this standpoint, in the temperature range from **373-383** to **413-423 K,**  the molecular mobility of **RB** form of **OAC** is rather high, and this form of **OAC** appears to plasticize the polymer, thus decreasing the elastic modulus. On contrary, molecular mobility of **IB** form of **OAC**  is still frozen. **As** a result, this form of **OAC** exhibit an antiplasticizing action on **PA-6.** In other words, the elastic properties of **PA-6/0AC**  samples are controlled by the interplay between the plasticizing action of **RB** form and the antiplasticizing action of **IB** form. The resulting effect appears to be decided by the ratio between **IB** and **RB** forms in the polymer matrix or fractional content of **IB** form in **PA-6.** 

From this standpoint, the existence of the same  $T_I$  for all Samples I studied (Fig. **3)** is well correlated to the fact, that all these samples are characterized by the same fractional content of **IB** form of **OAC** in polymer  $-0.32\pm0.02$  (Fig. 2). It is of particular interest to study the effect of accumulation of **IB** form in **PA-6** on dynamic mechanical properties of polymer. **As** was mentioned above, for Samples **11,**  increasing treatment time of **PA-6** films in aqueous **OAC** solutions results in increasing fractional content of **IB** form of **OAC** in polymer (Fig. **2). As** follows from (Fig. *5),* with increasing fractional content of IB form in PA-6  $T_I$  shifts towards higher temperatures.

Hence, this experimental evidence unequivocally demonstrates the influence of the molecular distribution **of'OAC** between **RB** and **IB**  forms within polymer matrix on the mechanical properties of **PA-6/ OAC** samples. This behavior is likely to be associated with the difference in temperature-induced molecular mobility of **IB** and **RB**  forms of **OAC** because of their localization within different structural



**FIGURE** *5* **Temperature dependences of storage moduli** *E'* of **initial PA-6 (1) and PA-6 treated wth aqueous OAC solution wth concentration 0.14 mol/l for 5 (2), 30 (3), and 180 min. (4) (Samples 11).** 

regions in polymer amorphous phase. For detailed discussion of this phenomenon, let us consider generalized data concerning the physical and mechanical behavior  $(T_g, T_f, \text{ and } E')$  of PA-6/OAC samples.

For Samples I, II, and III, Figure 6 shows the plots of  $T_g$  and  $T_I$ versus **OAC** content in **FA-6.** This allows one to follow the behavior **of**  these parameters **of PA-6/0AC** samples which are characterized by the different fractional content of **IB** form of **OAC** at fixed net content of **OAC** in polymer. **As** might be seen, the above parameters are not controlled by the net content of **OAC** in polymer, but by fractional content of IB form of **OAC** in **PA-6.** For Samples **I** which are characterized by the same fractional content of **IB** form, the dependence of  $T_g$  on OAC content is very weak in the range of





FIGURE 6 Glass transition temperature  $T_g(A)$  and inversion point of elastic modulus  $T_f(B)$  versus OAC content in PA-6. Samples I (1), Samples II (2 and 3), and Samples III (4 and 5).

**OAC** content from **0.04** to 0.5 mol/kg (Fig. **6A,** curve 1). For these samples,  $T_I$  appears to be independent on OAC content (Fig.  $6B$ , curve 1). For Samples **11,** with increasing periods of treatment time with aqueous **OAC** solutions fractional content of **IB** form of **OAC**  increases, and, as a result, both  $T_g$  and  $T_l$  increases (Fig. 6, curves 2 and **3).** For Samples **111,** removal of **RB** from **PA-6/0AC** samples results in a dramatic growth in both  $T_g$  and  $T_I$  (Fig. 6, curves 4 and 5).

For Samples I, **11,** and **111,** Figure 7 shows the dependence of *E'* on content of **OAC** in **PA-6** at **363K. At** this temperature, a marked difference between the influence of **IB** and **RB** forms of **OAC** on the elastic properties of **PA-6** is observed. When passing from Samples I to Samples **I11** (transition from curves 1 to curves **4** and *5,* Fig. 7), increasing fractional content of **IB** form of **OAC** in **PA-6/0AC**  samples is responsible for the fact, that, at the same net **OAC** content in **PA-6,** for Samples **111,** the values of storage moduli exceed those for Samples I. For Samples **11,** with increasing fractional content of **IB**  form storage moduli increase (Fig. 7, curves 2 and **3). As** we mentioned above, this phenomenon may be attributed to the interplay



**FIGURE 7 Storage moduli** *E'* **versus OAC content in FA-6 at 363 K Samples 1** (l), **Samples I1 (2 and 3), and Samples 111 (4 and 5).** 

between plasticizing action of RB form of **OAC** on mechanical properties of **PA-6** and antiplasticizing action of IB form.

Note, that at **293** K, for Samples I, 11, and 111, concentration dependences of *E'* fit one curve. In other words, there is no difference in influence of both IB and RB forms of **OAC** on elastic properties of **PA-6,** and elastic moduli are controlled only by the net content of **OAC** in polymer. This experimental evidence can be explained by the fact, that, at this temperature, molecular mobility of both IB and RB forms is inhibited. **As** a result, both these forms have an antiplasticizing action on polymer. Similar behavior is observed at 41 **3** K when all samples are in rubbery state. In this temperature region, molecular mobility of both **OAC** forms **is** enhanced, and their plasticizing action of **PA-6** is well pronounced.

Hence, a marked difference between the influence of IB and RB forms of **OAC** on mechanical properties of **PA-6/0AC** samples is observed in the temperature region associated with the transition from glassy to rubbery state.

To investigate the effect of distribution of **OAC** between IB and RB forms on physical and mechanical behavior of oriented **PA-6** samples, temperature-induced shrinkage of oriented polymer samples was studied (Fig. 8). For oriented initial **PA-6** films (curves l), at temperatures below melting point  $T_m (\approx 500 \text{ K})$ , temperature-induced shrinkage, which is characterized by decreasing residual deformation  $\varepsilon_{\text{res}}$ , is likely to be controlled by the relaxation of deformation within amorphous regions of polymer. With increasing temperature close to  $T<sub>m</sub>$  residual deformation dramatically falls down because of crystallites melting.

**At** lower temperatures, introduction of **OAC** into oriented **PA-6** films is responsible for suppression of shrinkage of oriented **PA-6/0AC**  samples (curves **2). As** temperature increases the shrinkage of oriented **PA-6/0AC** samples becomes higher as compared with initial polymer. In other words, for initial oriented **PA-6** modified with **OAC,** the inversion in shrinkage is observed. **As** for inversion in elastic properties of isotropic **PA-6** films and **PA-6/0AC** samples, the inversion in shrinkage of oriented polymer samples may be explained by molecular mobility of **OAC** in polymer matrix. With increasing temperature molecular mobility of **OAC** in **PA-6** increases, and decreasing energy of intermolecular interaction between polymer macrochains takes place.



FIGURE 8 Temperature dependences of relative residual deformation  $\varepsilon_{res}$  of initial oriented PA-6 (1), oriented PA-6 treated with aqueous OAC solutions with **concentrations 0.07 (A) and 0.007 mol/l (B) for 3 h (2), and, then, with boiling water for 6** h **(3).** 

**As** a result, for **PA-6/0AC** oriented samples, temperature-induced shrinkage is higher as compared with initial **PA-6.** 

For oriented **PA-6** samples treated with aqueous **OAC** solution with concentration of 0.07 mol/l, at temperatures above 450 **K,** removal of **RB** form of **OAC** is accompanied with suppression in shrinkage as compared with initial **PA-6** and **PA-6** containing both **IB** and **RB**  forms of **OAC** (Fig. **8A). At** lower temperatures, for oriented **PA-6**  sample containing only **IB** form of **OAC** (curve 3), temperatureinduced shrinkage is higher than that for **PA-6** sample containing both **IB** and **RB** forms of **OAC** (curve 2). Note, that for oriented **PA-6**  samples treated with aqueous **OAC** solution with concentration of 0.007 mol/l, removal of **RB** form of **OAC** results in suppression in shrinkage within the whole temperature range not above melting point (Fig. **8B).** Hence, for oriented **PA-6** films modified with **OAC,** their temperature-induced shrinkage is controlled by distribution of **OAC**  between **RB** and **IB** forms. **As** for isotropic **PA-6/0AC** samples, the influence of distribution of **OAC** on physical and mechanical behavior of oriented PA-6/OAC samples is decided by difference in temperature-induced molecular mobility of the above forms of **OAC** within polymer matrix, and, as a result, by opposite action of these forms on polymer properties in certain temperature intervals.

To gain a deeper insight into the influence of distribution of **OAC**  between **IB** and **RB** forms on physical and mechanical behavior of **PA-6/OAC** samples, let **us** discuss the data on water sorption by the samples of the initial **PA-6** and **PA-6** containing **OAC.** 

For Samples **I** and **111,** Figure 9 shows isotherms of water sorption at 293 **K** by the amorphous regions of the samples studied. **As** can be seen, the values of water content of **PA-6** and Sample **I11** containing only **IB** form of **OAC** (curves 1 and 2, respectively) quantitatively coincide. However, for sample **I** with the same net content of **OAC**  (curve 3), a marked decrease in water content **is** observed. In other words, the sorptional properties of **PA-6/0AC** samples are controlled by the fractional content of **IB** form of **OAC** in the polymer. This experimental evidence suggests that **IB** form of **OAC** is distributed in the densely packed domains of the amorphous phase of the semicrystalline polymer, which are inaccessible for water molecules in a whole range of relative humidities. The **RB** form of **OAC** occupies disordered regions of the **PA-6** amorphous phase, which are



**FIGURE 9 Isotherms** of **water sorption (293 K) by amorphous regions of initial PA-6 (1) and PA-6/0AC samples with OAC content 0.14 mol/kg (2, 3). 2** - **Sample** 111, **3** - **Sample 1.** 

responsible for water sorption of **PA-6.** The introduction of **OAC**  molecules into the above disordered regions is accompanied by physical cross-linking of macromolecules via formation of strong hydrogen bonds between phenolic hydroxyls of **OAC** and amide groups of **PA-6.** This gives rise to a drop in sorption capacity of such material **as** compared with the initial polymer.

The studies **of** elastic properties of the **PA-6/OAC** samples in a humid atmosphere also support a complicated pattern of influence of **OAC** on the mechanical properties of the polymer. Figure 10 shows the dependences of elastic modulus *Eo* of **PA-6/0AC** samples versus OAC content at  $p/p_0 = 0.47$  and 0.66. Curves 1 and 2 correspond to the Samples **I** for which with increasing net content **of OAC** fractional content of **IB** form remains constant  $-0.32 \pm 0.02$ . Curves 3, 4, and 5 are associated with Samples **IT.** These samples show an increase in fractional content of **IB** form of **OAC** with increasing the net content



**FIGURE 10 Elastic moduli of PA-6/0AC samples versus OAC content at** *p/po* **0.47 (1, 6, 7) and 0.66 (2-5). Samples I(1, 2), Samples I1 (3-5), Samples 111 (6, 7).** 

of OAC in polymer. Curves 6 and 7 demonstrate the variations in elastic properties of the PA-6/0AC samples upon desorption of RB form of OAC (transition from Samples I to Samples 111). In this case, with decreasing net content of OAC in polymer fractional content of IB form of OAC increases.

As might be seen, elastic modulus of the PA-6/0AC samples at given values of  $p/p_0$  is determined not only by the net content of OAC in the polymer, but also by distribution of OAC between IB and RB forms. An increase in net content of OAC in the polymer amorphous regions is accompanied by increase in elastic modulus of the PA-6/ OAC samples (Fig. 6, curves  $1-5$ ). However, the growth in  $E_0$  is more pronounced, when an increase in the net OAC content is associated with increase in the fractional content of IB form (curves  $3-5$ ). Note that, in a wide range of OAC concentrations, the removal of RB form of OAC from the PA-6/0AC samples (curves 6 and 7) has no influence on elastic modulus of the polymer.

Let us emphasize, that the **PA-6/0AC** samples containing both **TB**  and **RB** forms of **OAC** (Samples **I** and **11)** show a dramatic drop in water content as compared with initial **PA-6** within the whole range of relative humidities. In this case, a decreased water sorption is controlled by the net content of **OAC** in the polymer. In other words, water sorption of the Samples I and 11 with the same net content of OAC (curves  $1 - 5$ ) is the same, despite a certain difference in the ratio between **IB** and **RB** forms of **OAC.** Desorption of RB form of OAC from **PA-6** is accompanied by a sharp increase in water sorption. Note that water sorption of the Samples **111** containing only IB form of **OAC** is similar to that of initial **PA-6** (Fig. 9, curves 1 and 2). Nevertheless, despite a high content of plasticizer (water) in the polymer, the elastic modulus of the samples is much higher than the corresponding values for initial **PA-6** and Samples **I** and **I1** containing both IB and **RB** forms of **OAC.** 

The data presented in Figure **10** allows the following general conclusion. For the **PA-6/0AC** samples with the same net content of **OAC,** the higher modulus is observed for the samples with higher fractional content *of* IB form of **OAC.** Distribution of **OAC** in the polymer matrix between **IB** and **RB** forms controls not only the absolute values of  $E_0$  of the PA-6/OAC samples, but also sensitivity of these characteristics to the plasticizing action of water. Increase in the fractional content of **IB** form of **OAC** is accompanied by a concomitant growth in  $E_0$ , even though water sorption of the samples remains unchanged (curves 2 - *5)* or drastically increases (curves **1,6** and **7).** The influence of **IB** form of **OAC** accumulated in the densely packed domains of the amorphous phase on elastic properties of the polymer proves the important role of these structural regions for the development of physico-mechanical properties *of* semicrystalline polymers.

Let us emphasize, that the above phenomena were observed only in the intermediate range of relative humidities, i.e., at **0.47** and **0.66.** At  $p/p_0 = 0$  or 1, the PA-6/OAC samples are in glassy or rubbery state, respectively. In this case, the elastic properties of the samples do not recognize distribution of **OAC** between IB and **RB** forms. They depend only on the net content of **OAC** in the **PA-6/0AC** samples. In other words, the decisive role of the ratio between **IB** and **RB** forms of **OAC** is well pronounced only in the range of relative humidities associated with transition from glassy to rubbery state.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Introduction of **OAC** in amorphous regions of **PA-6** is associated with the distribution of **OAC** between two different forms reversibly and irreversibly bound with polymer matrix. The ratio between the above forms of **OAC** in polymer can be controlled either by varying .the regimes of treatment of **PA-6** samples in aqueous **OAC** solutions or by subsequent treatment of **PA-6/0AC** samples in water. Generally speaking, this ratio is responsible for the physical and mechanical behavior of **PA-6** samples modified with **OAC:** glass transition temperature, temperature range of reinforcing action of **OAC** on **PA-6** mechanical properties, water sorption, and elastic properties. Note, that elastic properties of **PA-6** containing **OAC** are controlled by distribution of **OAC** between the above forms only in the case when polymer undergoes transition of its amorphous phase from glassy to rubbery state, regardless of whether this is realized: by increasing the concentration of plasticizer (water) or by increasing the temperature. This behavior is likely to be associated with the localization of reversibly and irreversibly bound forms of **OAC** with different structural sublevels in polymer amorphous phase.

#### *References*

- **[l]** Lewin, M., Guttman, H. and Naor, **Y. (1988).** J. *Macromol. Sci., A,* **1367.**
- **[2]** Polishchuk, A. Ya., Zimina, L. A., Madyuskin, N. N. and Zaikov, G. E. **(1993).**  *Polym. Sci., A, 35,* **80.**
- **[3]** Arzhakov, **M. S.,** Volkov, A. V., Volynskii, A. L. and Bakeev, N. F. **(1991).**  *Makromol. Chem.; Macromol. Symp.,* **44, 165.**
- **[4]** Arzhakov, M. **S.,** Red'ko, N. V., Volkov, A. V., Volynskii, A. L. and Bakeev, N. F. **(1994).** *Polym. Sci.,* A, **929.**
- **[5]** Arzhakov, M. **S. (1995).** *Intern. J. Polymeric Muter.,* **28, 103.**
- **[6]** Arzhakov, M. **S.,** Nechaeva, E. V., Red'ko, N. V., Volkov, A. V., Volynskii, A. L. and Bakeev, N. F. **(1996).** *Polym. Sci., A, 35,* **62.**
- **[7]** Prevorsec, **D. C. (1971).** *J. Polym. Sci.,* **32, 434.**
- **[8]** Prevorsec, **D. C.,** Tirpac, G. A., Harget, P. J. and Reimschuessel, H. C. **(1974).** *J. Macromol. Sci., B,* **9, 133.**
- **[9]** Yeh, G. **S.** J., **(1972).** *J. Macromol. Sci. B, 6,* **465.**
- [lo] Klement, **I.** J. and Geil, **P.** H. **(1971).** J. Macromol. *Sci. B, 5, 505.*
- **[ll]** Arzhakov, **S. A,,** Bakeev, N. F. and Kabanov, V. A. **(1973).** *Vysokomol. Soedin.*  A, **15, 1154** (in Russian).
- [I21 Kozlov, G. V., Sanditov, D. **S.** and Serdyuk, V. D. **(1993).** *Polym. Sci. A, 35,* **1742.**
- **[13]** Perepechko, **I. I.** and Yakovenko, S. S. **(1981).** *Vysokomol. Soedin. A, 23,* **1166** (in Russian).

#### **308 M. S. ARZHAKOV** *et al.*

- **[I41 Murphy,** N. **S., Stamm, M., Sibilia, J. P. and Krimm, S. (1989).** *Macromolecules,*  **22, 1261.**
- **[I51 Murphy, N. S. and Orts, W. J. (1994).** *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Phys., 32,* **2695.**
- **[I61 Arzhakov, M. S.,** *Cund. Sci. (Chem.) Dissertation,* **Moscow State University, Moscow, 1989.**
- **[I71 Guthrie, J. and Cook, C. C. (1981).** *Polymer,* **22, 1439.**
- **[I81 Topic, M., Mogus-Milankovic, A. and Katovic, Z. (1987).** *Polymer, 28,* **33.**
- **[I91 Atzhakov, M. S., Volkov, A. V., Volynskii, A. L. and Bakeev, N. F. (1993).**  *Polym. Sci. A,* **35, 521.**
- **[20] Arzhakov, M. S., Volkov, A. V., Volynskii, A. L. and Bakeev,** N. **F.(1993).** *Intern. J. Polymeric Marer., 25.* **89.**

 $\bar{ }$